Attorney's Docket No.: 42390,P6482 PATENT

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION - (FOR INTEL CORPORATION PATENT APPLICATIONS)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR SELECTING FROM MULTIPLE VERSIONS OF A TELEVISION PROGRAM

the specification of which	h			
X is attac was file	hed hereto. ed on as United States Application Nur or PCT International Applicati and was amended on	on Number		
including the claim(s), as that the claimed invention thereof, or patented or do more than one year prior States of America more than the united States of A than twelve months (for this application. I acknowledge the duty to Title 37, Code of Federa I hereby claim foreign propolication(s) for patent.	s amended by any amendment re n was ever known or used in the escribed in any printed publication to this application, that the same than one year prior to this application to the application of the application of the application of the autility patent application or six to disclose all information known a Regulations, Section 1.56.	ntents of the above-identified specifierred to above. I do not know as United States of America before on in any country before my inverse was not in public use or on sale ation, and that the invention has not the date of this application in any or me or my legal representatives of a months (for a design patent application in the to me to be material to patentable inited States Code, Section 119(a) low and have also identified belowing date before that of the application of the section of the section of the application of the section of the	my inventiation there in the Unition there in the Unitiot been pay y country: or assigns: lication) pr ility as def -(d), of an w any fore	of or ted ted tented or foreign more rior to ined in y foreign ign
Prior Foreign Application	on(s)		Priori Claim	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	
I hereby claim the benefapplication(s) listed belo	fit under title 35, United States C ow	ode, Section 119(e) of any United	i States pr	ovisional
(Application Number) Filing Date			
(Application Number	Filing Date			

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby appoint Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. P42,261; Aloysius T. C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; Amy M. Armstrong, Reg. No. P42,265; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Carol F. Barry, Reg. No. P41,600; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Kent M. Chen, Reg. No. 39,630; Lawrence M. Cho, Reg. No. 39,942; Yong S. Choi, Reg. No. P43,324; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Roland B. Cortes, Reg. No. 39,152; Barbara Bokanov Courtney, Reg. No. P42,442; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Robert Andrew Diehl, Reg. No. 40,992; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; Richard Leon Gregory, Jr., P42,607; Dinu Gruia, Reg. No. P42,996; David R. Halvorson, Reg. No. 30,395; Thomas A. Hassing, Reg. No. 36,159; Phuong-Quan Hoang, P41,839; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. P41,845; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Tim L. Kitchen, Reg. No. P41,900; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, under 37 C.F.R. § 10,9(b); Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Darren J. Milliken, 42,004; Thinh V. Nguyen, P42,034; Kimberley G. Nobles, Reg. No. 38,255; Michael A. Proksch, Reg. No. 43,021; Babak Redjaian, P42,096; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Anand Sethuraman, Reg. No. P43,351; Charles E. Shemwell, Reg. No. 40,171; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,69; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Allan T. Sponseller, Reg. No. 38,318; Geoffrey T. Staniford, P43,151; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; Vincent P. Tassinari, Reg. No. P42,179; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; George G. C. Tseng, Reg. No. 41,355; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,417; Broor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 20

Send correspondence to Allan T. Sponseller
(Name of Attorney or Agent)

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025 and direct telephone calls to Allan T. Sponseller (503)684-6200.
(Name of Attorney or Agent)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole/First Inventor
Inventor's Signature Win Muselduliman K Date 10/30/98
Residence San Jose, California Citizenship USA (City, State) (Country)
Post Office Address 475 Milan Drive #107, San Jose, CA 95134
Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor Inventor Anthony A. Shah-Nazaroff
Inventor's Signature Alary A Suh - Nazaroff Date 10/30/98
Residence Santa Clara, California Citizenship USA (Country)
Post Office Address 779 Hilmar Street, Santa Clara, CA 95050
Full Name of Third/Joint Christopher D. Williams
Inventor's Signature Date Date
Residence Soquel, California Citizenship England (Country)
(City, State) (Country) Post Office Address 687 Pau Hana Drive, Soquel, CA 95073
Full Name of Fourth/Joint Inventor Gregory D. Buscheck
Inventor's Signature Day D Buselof Date 10/30/98
Residence San Jose, California Citizenship USA (Country)
D. + OSS - Address 21/42 I alreayyand Drive San Jose CA 95132
Post Office Address 2143 Lakewood Drive, San Jose, CA 95132
Full Name of Fifth/Joint Inventor Kenneth Alan Moore Inventor's Signature Line Date 10/30/98
Full Name of Fifth/Joint Inventor Kenneth Alan Moore

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.